UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,151	03/31/2004	Kazuyoshi Honda	10873.1412US01	8205
53148 7590 06/12/2008 HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON P.C. P.O. BOX 2902-0902 MININE A DOLLS, MN 55402			EXAMINER	
			MARTIN, ANGELA J	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/815,151	HONDA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Angela J. Martin	1795		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING THE MAILING THE METERS AND THE MAILING THE METERS AND TH	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Fermions</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the pra	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 30 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is responsive to the Remarks filed on February 28, 2008. The remarks have overcome the 112, 2nd Rejection. However, a new rejection is presented for the following reasons of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kageyama et al., U.S. Pat. 5,658,683.

Rejection of claims 1-4 drawn to an energy device.

Kageyama et al., teach an energy device comprising a winding body in which a band-shaped laminate (abstract) having a flexible elongated substrate, a negative collector, a solid electrolyte, a positive active material, and a positive collector in this order (col. 5, lines 54-67) is wound in a plate shape with the flexible elongated substrate placed inside, wherein a cross-sectional shape of the winding body perpendicular to a winding axis includes portions at opposing ends of the cross-sectional shape with small radiuses of curvature and portions between the opposing ends of the cross-sectional shape with large radiuses of

Art Unit: 1795

curvature (Fig. 1A, 2). The energy device according to claim 1, wherein the flexible elongated substrate is made of an insulating material (col. 4, lines 38-43). The energy device according to claim 1, further comprising a negative active material between the negative collector and the solid electrolyte (Fig. 7, 8). The energy device according to claim 3, wherein a thickness of the negative active material is smaller than that of the positive active material (col. 6, lines 56-67 and col. 7, lines 1-19).

Thus, the claims are anticipated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5 and 30 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kageyama et al., U.S. Pat. 5,658,683.

Kageyama et al., teach an energy device as described above.

Kageyama et al., do not teach energy device according to claim 1, wherein a minimum radius of an outer surface of the flexible elongated substrate is in a range of 5 times to 100 times a thickness of the band-shaped laminate excluding the flexible elongated substrate. It does not teach the ratio of the size in a horizontal direction to the size in a vertical direction for the plate shape is at least 5.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,151 Page 4

Art Unit: 1795

However, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because although the prior art of record does not teach the recited ranges and ratios, "mere scaling up of a prior art process capable of being scaled up, if such were the case, would not establish patentability in a claim to an old process so scaled, *In re Rinehart*, 531 F.2d 1048, 189 USPQ 143 (CCPA 1976)." 531 F.2d at 1053, 189 USPQ at 148.). *In Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc.*, 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984), the Federal Circuit held that, where the only difference between the prior art and the claims was a recitation of relative dimensions of the claimed device and a device having the claimed relative dimensions would not perform differently than the prior art device, the claimed device was not patentably distinct from the prior art device.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 30 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela J. Martin whose telephone number is (571)272-1288. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:00 am to 6:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,151 Page 5

Art Unit: 1795

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AJM /Angela J. Martin/ Examiner, Art Unit 1795